

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	PIDCTALLED BUILDINGS		
	TIENTO DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/522,602	03/10/2000	Akira Atsuta	P19202.P01	5787
7055	1217/2005		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			BAYARD, EMMANUEL	
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2631	
•	•		DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/522,602	ATSUTA, AKIRA				
è · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Emmanuel Bayard	2631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	Void abandonment of this applic	cation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	ino appear.				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		See NOTE bolows				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow):	see NOTE below);				
(c)		erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an wor appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 1.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-27</u>	•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No/s)					
10. Other:						
•						
	·					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/522,602



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the prior arts of Akamoto and Yoshida teach in combination the claimed invention. Therefore applicant's arguments are not peresuasive and the claims 13-27 stand rejected as provided in the final action.